UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| Northern California River Watch, | |
|--|---|
| -1 t .100(.) | CASE NO. C08-0779 EMC |
| Plaintiff(s), | |
| V. Pacific Gas & Electric Company, et al. | STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |
| Defendant(s). | |
| Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 a | ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5: |
| The parties agree to participate in the following A | DR process: |
| Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. ✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (AMEDIATION (ADR L.R. 6) | R. 4) ADR L.R. 5) |
| (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than a ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-6 | iny other form of ADR, must participate in an They must instead file a Notice of Need for |
| Private Process: Private ADR (please identify proce | ess and provider) |
| The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR proces | dline is 90 days from the date of the order ess unless otherwise ordered.) |
| other requested deadline | |
| Dated: 4/11/X | Attorney for Plaintiff |
| Dated: 4/16/2008 | Attorney for Defendant |

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session
90 days from the date of this order.
other

| IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Dated: | |
| | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |